

43.

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,963	07/29/2003	Richard W. Nykamp	76764.(new)	6180	
24335	7590 05/12/2006	EXAMINER			
WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP 900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER 111 LYON STREET, N.W. GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2487			SIMONE, CATHERINE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	_	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/628,96	63	NYKAMP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		Catherine	Simone	1772				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence addres	is			
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic D period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ory period will apply and wi , by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this community (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>03 March 2006</i>						
•—	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	M This action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer								
•	closed in accordance with the practice	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,11,12 and 17-19</u> is/are per	nding in the applica	ation.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 3, 11, 12 and 17-19 is/are r	ejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	• •		ed in this National Stag	је			
	application from the International	•						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.				
A 44 -	44-1							
Attachmer	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo er No(s)/Mail Date	-	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 3/3/06, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 18 and 19 each depend from claim 5, which has been cancelled. It is believed that claims 18 and 19 should each depend from claim 17. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/628,963 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 3, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flesher (US 5,158,324).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 11 and 12, Flesher discloses a decorative cover comprising a flexible, magnetic sheet (Fig. 3, #104, also see col. 4, lines 43-49) and a decorative layer (Fig. 3, #102; also see col. 4, lines 58-64) laminated to the magnetic sheet to form a lamination dimensioned to cover the component substantially completely (see col. 1, lines 55-68), the decorative layer is vinyl (see col. 4, lines 54-55), the lamination defining an aperture positioned to be aligned with the physical feature when the lamination is mounted on the component (see col. 2, lines 6-10).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 1772

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 17-19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-10 of copending Application No. 09/999,400. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims and the specification of copending Application No. 09/999,400 are broad enough to encompass or include that which is recited in the present patent application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,963 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine A. Simone

Examiner Art Unit 1772

May 10, 2006

HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER